arXiv:1106.5107v3 [math.QA] 25 Apr 2012

Rigidity of action of compact quantum groups I

Debashish Goswami [1]
Indian Statistical Institute
203, B. T. Road, Kolkata 700108
Email: goswamid@isical.ac.in

Abstract

Suppose that a compact quantum group $\mathcal{Q}$ acts faithfully on the commutative C^* algebra of continuous functions on G/T, where G is a compact, semisimple, centre-less, connected Lie group of simply-laced type, i.e. A,D or E types, with a maximal torus T, Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ and a regular element H, and assume also that the action is linear in the sense that it leaves invariant the space $\{f_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathcal{G}'\}$, $f_\lambda(g) := \lambda(\mathrm{Ad}_g(H))$. It is proved that $\mathcal{Q}$ must be commutative, i.e. of the form $C(K)$ for some compact group K. As a corollary, it is also shown that any compact quantum group having a faithful action on the noncommutative manifold obtained by Rieffel deformation of G/T satisfying a similar 'linarity' condition must be a Rieffel-Wang type deformation of some classical group.

1 Introduction

It is indeed a very important and interesting problem in the theory quantum groups and noncommutative geometry to study 'quantum symmetries' of various classical and quantum structures. Initiated by S. Wang who defined and studied quantum permutation groups of finite sets and quantum automorphism groups of finite dimensional algebras, such questions were taken up by a number of mathematicians including Banica, Bichon, and more recently by Goswami, Bhowmick and Skalski (see [1], [2], [17], [9], [4], [5] and also references therein). Although there are many genuine (i.e. not of the form $C(G)$ for some group G) compact quantum groups which can faithfully act on the space of functions on finite sets, and these also give such actions on $C(X)$ for disconnected spaces with at least 4 components, no example of faithful action of a genuine compact quantum group on $C(X)$ for a connected compact space X was known until recently, when H. Huang ([11]) gave a systematic way to construct examples of such action of the quantum permutation groups. The work of Huang thus disproved a conjecture made by the author of the present paper about non-existence of faithful actions of genuine compact quantum groups on classical connected spaces.

However, it is interesting to observe that none of the examples constructed by Huang are smooth manifolds. On the other hand, it follows from the work of Banica et al ([3]) that most of known compact quantum groups, including the quantum permutation groups of Wang, can never act faithfully and isometrically on a connected compact Riemannian manifold. All these motivate us to

---

[1] The author gratefully acknowledges support from IUSSTF for Indo-US fellowship, Dept. of Science and Technology of Govt. of India for the Swarnajayanti fellowship and project and also the Indian National Science Academy.

modify the original conjecture to the following:
Conjecture: It is not possible to have smooth faithful actions of genuine compact quantum groups on $C(M)$ when M is a compact connected smooth manifold.

In this article, we provide some supporting evidence to this conjecture, by proving non-existence of nice (in some suitable sense to be described later) action of any genuine compact quantum group on a large class of classical connected manifolds which are homogeneous spaces of semisimple compact connected Lie groups. Using this, we also prove that any compact quantum group acting faithfully and 'linearly' in a certain sense on Rieffel-type deformation of $C(M)$ must be a Rieffel-Wang type deformation of $C(K)$ for some classical group K acting on M.

Let us conclude this section with a brief remark on the physical relevance of the above conjecture. The truth of the conjecture will have two implications: firstly, it implies that for a classical system with phase-space modelled on a compact connected manifold, the generalized notion of symmetries in terms of quantum groups coincides with the conventional notion, i.e. symmetries coming from group actions. This gives some kind of consistency of the philosophy of thinking quantum group actions as symmetries. Secondly, it also allows us to describe all the (quantum) symmetries of a physical model obtained by suitable deformation (at least for the Rieffel-type deformations) of a classical model with connected compact phase space, showing that such quantum symmetries are indeed deformations of the classical (group) symmetries of the original classical model.

2 Preliminaries on quantum groups and their actions

2.1 Basics of compact quantum groups

A compact quantum group (CQG for short) is a unital C^* algebra $\mathcal{S}$ with a coassociative coproduct (see [19]) Δ from $\mathcal{S}$ to $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}$ (injective tensor product) such that each of the linear spans of $\Delta(\mathcal{S})(\mathcal{S} \otimes 1)$ and that of $\Delta(\mathcal{S})(1 \otimes \mathcal{S})$ is norm-dense in $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}$. From this condition, one can obtain a canonical dense unital $*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{S}_0$ of $\mathcal{S}$ on which linear maps κ and ϵ (called the antipode and the counit respectively) making the above subalgebra a Hopf $*$ algebra. In fact, we shall always choose this dense Hopf $*$-algebra to be the algebra generated by the 'matrix coefficients' of the (finite dimensional) irreducible unitary representations (to be defined shortly) of the CQG. The antipode is an anti-homomorphism and also satisfies $\kappa(a^*) = (\kappa^{-1}(a))^*$ for $a \in \mathcal{S}_0$.

It is known that there is a unique state h on a CQG $\mathcal{S}$ (called the Haar state) which is bi invariant in the sense that $(\mathrm{id} \otimes h) \circ \Delta(a) = (h \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta(a) = h(a)1$ for all a. The Haar state need not be faithful in general, though it is always faithful on $\mathcal{S}_0$ at least.

We say that a CQG $\mathcal{S}$ (with a coproduct Δ) (co)acts on a unital C^* algebra

$\mathcal{C}$ if there is a unital C^*-homomorphism $\beta : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{S}$ such that $\mathrm{Span}\{\beta(\mathcal{C})(1 \otimes \mathcal{S})\}$ is norm-dense in $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{S}$, and it satisfies the coassociativity condition, i.e. $(\beta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \beta = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \beta$. It has been shown in [13] that there is a unital dense $*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{C}_0$ of $\mathcal{C}$ such that β maps $\mathcal{C}_0$ into $\mathcal{C}_0 \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathcal{S}_0$ (where $\mathcal{S}_0$ is the dense Hopf $*$-algebra mentioned before) and we also have $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon) \circ \beta = \mathrm{id}$ on $\mathcal{C}_0$. In fact, this subalgebra $\mathcal{C}_0$ comes from the canonical decomposition of $\mathcal{C}$ into subspaces on each of which the action β is equivalent to an irreducible representation. More precisely, $\mathcal{C}_0$ is the algebraic direct sum of finite dimensional vector spaces $\mathcal{C}_i^\pi$, say, where i runs over some index set J_i, and π runs over some subset (say T) of the set of (inequivalent) irreducible unitary representations of $\mathcal{S}$, and the restriction of β to $\mathcal{C}_i^\pi$ is equivalent to the representation π. Let $\{a_j^{(\pi,i)}, j = 1, ..., d_\pi\}$ (where d_π is the dimension of the representation π) be a basis of $\mathcal{C}_i^\pi$ such that $\beta(a_j^{(\pi,i)}) = \sum_k a_k^{(\pi,i)} \otimes t_{jk}^\pi$, for elements t_{jk}^π of $\mathcal{S}_0$. The elements $\{t_{jk}^\pi, \pi \in T;\ j, k = 1, ..., d_\pi\}$ are called the 'matrix coefficients' of the action β.

We say that the action β is faithful if the $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}$ generated by elements of the form $(\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(\beta(a))$, where $a \in \mathcal{C}$, ω being a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{C}$, is norm-dense in $\mathcal{S}$, or, equivalently, the $*$-algebra generated by the matrix coefficients is norm-dense in $\mathcal{S}$.

A unitary representation of a CQG $(\mathcal{S}, \Delta)$ in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is given by a complex linear map U from the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ to the Hilbert $\mathcal{S}$-module $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{S}$, which is isometric in the sense that $\langle U\xi, U\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{S}} = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ (where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{S}}$ denotes the $\mathcal{S}$-valued inner product) and the $\mathcal{S}$-linear span of the range of U is dense in $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{S}$. There is an equivalent description of the unitary representation given by the $\mathcal{S}$-linear unitary $\tilde{U} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{S})$ defined by $\tilde{U}(\xi \otimes b) = U(\xi)b$, for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}, b \in \mathcal{S}$, satisfying $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\tilde{U}) = \tilde{U}^{12}\tilde{U}^{13}$. Here, $\tilde{U}^{ij}$ is the standard leg-numbering notation used in the theory of quantum groups, i.e. $\tilde{U}^{12} = \tilde{U} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\tilde{U}^{13} := \sigma_{23} \circ \tilde{U}^{12} \circ \sigma_{23}$, σ_{23} being the map which flips the second and third tensor components of $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}$. We also recall that a unitary representation U is irreducible if there is no nontrivial closed subspace $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ which is invariant under U, i.e. $U\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{S}$.

3 Quadratic independence and nonexistence of genuine quantum group action

3.1 Quadratic independence

Let V be a finite dimensional subspace of a (possibly infinite dimensional) commutative algebra over any field. Let $V^{(2)}$ denote the linear span of elements of the form vv', with $v, v' \in V$. We call the dimension of $V^{(2)}$ the quadratic dimension of V and call V to be quadratically independent if its quadratic dimension equals $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, where $n = \dim(V)$.

Lemma 3.1 *The following are equivalent:*
(i) V is quqdratically independent.

(ii) For every basis $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ of V, the set $\{x_i x_j,\ 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$ is linearly independent.

Proof:
It is clear that (ii) implies (i). To prove the other way, observe that for any basis $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ of V, the set $E = \{x_i x_j, i \leq j \leq n\}$ spans $V^{(2)}$, hence a subset of E will give a basis of $V^{(2)}$. But E has cardinality not greater than $n(n+1)/2$, which is by (i) the dimension of $V^{(2)}$. Therefore, E must be a basis itself. $\Box$

We remark that if the underlying field is $\mathbb{R}$, then quadratic independence of V is clearly equivalent to the quadratic independence of the complexification $V_{\mathbb{C}}$, as $(V^{(2)})_{\mathbb{C}} \cong (V_{\mathbb{C}})^{(2)}$.

Lemma 3.2 *If $V_1, ..., V_k$ are quadratically independent subspaces of commutative unital algebras $\mathcal{A}_1, ..., \mathcal{A}_k$ respectively, then $V = \hat{V_1} + ... + \hat{V_k}$, where $\hat{V_i}$ denotes the natural imnedding of V_i in $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{A}_k$ by putting* 1 *in tensor components other than the i-th, is quadratically independent in $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof:
The proof is a simple dimension count. Indeed, let $m_i = dim(V_i)$. By quadratic independence, $dim(V_i^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{2} m_i(m_i+1)$. Now, 1 of $\mathcal{A}_i$ does not belong to V_i, as that would imply quadratic dependence, and so it is easy to see that $V^{(2)}$ is isomorphic with a direct sum of $V_i^{(2)}$'s and $V_i \otimes V_j$ for $i < j$. Now, the lemma follows from the simple observation that $\left(\sum_i \frac{1}{2} m_i(m_i+1)\right) + \sum_{i<j} m_i m_j = \frac{1}{2} m(m+1)$, where $m = m_1 + ... m_k = dim(V)$. $\Box$

3.2 Implication of quadratic independence for quantum group action

Theorem 3.3 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital commutative C^* algebra and $x_1, ..., x_n$ be self-adjoint elements of $\mathcal{A}$ such that* $\mathrm{Span}\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ *is quadratically independent and $\mathcal{A}$ is the unital C^* algebra generated by $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a compact quantum group acting faithfully on $\mathcal{A}$, such that the action maps* $\mathrm{Span}\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ *into itself. Then $\mathcal{Q}$ must be commutative as a C^* algebra, i.e. $\mathcal{Q} \cong C(G)$ for some compact group G.*

Proof:
The arguments are essentially same as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 of [4], which we repeat for completeness. Let us denote the action of $\mathcal{Q}$ by α. Let $\mathcal{A} \cong C(X)$ for some compact Hausdorff space X, and fix any faithful (i.e. with full support) Borel probabilty measure μ on X, and denote by ϕ_μ the corresponding state on $\mathcal{A}$. Assume, without loss of generality (by passing to the reduced quantum group of $\mathcal{Q}$ if necessary) that $\mathcal{Q}$ has a faithful haar state h, and consider the convolved state $\overline{\phi_\mu} = (\phi_\mu \otimes h) \circ \alpha$ on $\mathcal{A}$ which is a faithful $\mathcal{Q}$-invariant state. Let $\overline{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be the corresponding Borel measure and the L^2-space respectively, with the inner product $< \cdot, \cdot >_{\overline{\phi_\mu}}$. As $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative and x_i are self-adjoint, so are $x_i x_j$ for all i, j, and hence the inner product $< x_i, x_j >_{\overline{\phi_\mu}}$'s are real numbers.

Thus, Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization on $\{x_1, ...x_n\}$ will give an orthonormal (w.r.t. $< \cdot, \cdot >_{\overline{\phi_\mu}}$) set $\{y_1, ..., y_n\}$ consisting of self-adjoint elements, with the same span as $\mathrm{Span}\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$. Replacing x_i's by y_i's, let us assume for the rest of the proof that $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ is an orthonormal set.

Since $x_i^* = x_i$ for each i and α is a $*$-homomorphism, we must have $Q_{ij}^* = Q_{ij}\ \forall i, j = 1, 2, ..., n$.

The condition that x_i,x_j commute $\forall i, j$ gives

$$Q_{ij}Q_{kj} = Q_{kj}Q_{ij} \forall i, j, k, \tag{1}$$

$$Q_{ik}Q_{jl} + Q_{il}Q_{jk} = Q_{jk}Q_{il} + Q_{jl}Q_{ik}. \tag{2}$$

Now, it follows from the proof of Lemma 2.12 in [9] that $\tilde{\alpha} : C(X) \otimes \mathcal{Q} \to C(X) \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ defined by $\tilde{\alpha}(A \otimes B) = \alpha(A)(1 \otimes B)$ extends to a unitary of the Hilbert $\mathcal{Q}$-module $L^2(X, \overline{\mu}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ (or in other words, α extends to a unitary representation of $\mathcal{Q}$ on $L^2(X, \overline{\mu})$). But α keeps $V = \mathrm{Sp}\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ invariant. So α is a unitary representation of $\mathcal{Q}$ on V, i.e. $Q = ((Q_{ij})) \in M_n(\mathcal{Q})$ is a unitary, hence $Q^{-1} = Q^* = Q^T := ((Q_{ji}))$, since in this case entries of Q are self-adjoint elements.

Clearly, the matrix Q is an n-dimensional unitary representation of $\mathcal{Q}$. Recall that (c.f. [12]) the antipode κ on the matrix elements of a finite-dimensional unitary representation $U^\alpha \equiv (u_{pq}^\alpha)$ is given by $\kappa(u_{pq}^\alpha) = (u_{qp}^\alpha)^*$.

So we obtain

$$\kappa(Q_{ij}) = Q_{ij}^{-1} = Q_{ij}^T = Q_{ji}. \tag{3}$$

Now from (1) , we have $Q_{ij}Q_{kj} = Q_{kj}Q_{ij}$. Applying κ on this equation and using the fact that κ is an antihomomorphism along with (3) , we have $Q_{jk}Q_{ji} = Q_{ji}Q_{jk}$ Similarly , applying κ on (2), we get

$$Q_{lj}Q_{ki} + Q_{kj}Q_{li} = Q_{li}Q_{kj} + Q_{ki}Q_{lj}\ \forall i, j, k, l.$$

Interchanging between k and i and also between l, j gives

$$Q_{jl}Q_{ik} + Q_{il}Q_{jk} = Q_{jk}Q_{il} + Q_{ik}Q_{jl}\ \forall i, j, k, l. \tag{4}$$

Now, by (2)-(4) , we have

$$[Q_{ik}, Q_{jl}] = [Q_{jl}, Q_{ik}],$$

hence

$$[Q_{ik}, Q_{jl}] = 0.$$

Therefore the entries of the matrix Q commute among themselves. However, by faithfulness of the action of $\mathcal{Q}$, it is clear that the C^*-subalgebra generated by entries of Q must be the same as $\mathcal{Q}$, so $\mathcal{Q}$ is commutative.□

4 Main results

4.1 Nonexistence of nice quantum actions on $C(G/K)$

Let G be a compact, simple, connected Lie group with trivial centre, and let $\mathcal{G}$ be its Lie algebra. Consider the complexification $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathcal{G}$, and the corresponding simple Lie group $G_{\mathbb{C}}$, which has the (complex) Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, assume that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is simply laced, i.e. of types A,D or E. Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ has rank n, $\{H_1, ..., H_n\}$ is a set of regular generators of a maximal Cartan subalgebra with the corresponding maximal torus T, and $((a_{ij}))$ is the Cartan matrix, with $a_{ii} = 2$, $a_{ij} \leq 0$ for $i \neq j$. From the general structure theory of semisimple Lie algebras, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is generated (as Lie algebra) by $\{E_i, F_i, H_i : \; i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, satisfying the following relations:

$$[E_i, F_i] = H_i, \quad [E_j, H_i] = -a_{ij} E_j, \quad [F_j, H_i] = a_{ij} F_j,$$
$$[E_i, F_j] = 0 \; \forall i \neq j, \quad [H_i, H_j] = 0 \; \forall i, j,$$
$$\mathrm{ad}_{E_i}^{1-a_{ij}}(E_j) = 0, \quad \mathrm{ad}_{F_i}^{1-a_{ij}}(F_j) = 0, \quad i \neq j.$$

Thus, a spanning set (as vector space) of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ consists of E_i, F_i, H_i, $i = 1, ..., n$, and those elements of the form $\mathrm{ad}_{E_{i_1}}^{m_1} ... \mathrm{ad}_{E_{i_k}}^{m_k} (E_{i_{k+1}})$, $\mathrm{ad}_{F_{i_1}}^{n_1} ... \mathrm{ad}_{F_{i_l}}^{n_l} (F_{i_{l+1}})$, $1 \leq i \leq n, k, l \leq n-1, i_1 < ... < i_{k+1}$ which are nonzero. Let us denote by $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ this spanning set. Now, as $\mathcal{G}$ is a simple Lie algebra, its Cartan matrix is indecomposable. That is, for every i and j, we can find a 'path', say $i_1 = i, i_2, ..., i_k = j$, such that $a_{i_l i_{l+1}} \neq 0$ for each $l = 1, ..., k-1$. Unless otherwise mentioned, we shall always assume that no two indices in a 'path' are chosen equal. Denote by Γ_m the subset of i such that we can find a 'path' of length at most m from 1 to i, and let $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_m$ be the subset of $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ consisting of those E_i, F_i, H_i and $[E_{i_1}, ..., E_{i_k}], [F_{j_1}, ..., F_{j_l}]$ with all i_p and j_q in Γ_n. Choose a linearly independent subset $\mathcal{B}$ of $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ as follows. Start with all E_i, F_i, H_i's (which are clearly linearly independent), then extend this collection to a linearly independent set, say $\mathcal{B}_1$, which has the same span as that of $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_1$ by adding appropriate elements from $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_1$. Then add further suitable elements from $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_2 \bigcap \hat{\mathcal{B}}_1^c$ to get a linearly independent set $\mathcal{B}_2$ with the same span as that of $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$. Go on like this to get $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_m \mathcal{B}_m$, which is a basis of $\mathcal{G}$, with $\mathcal{B}_m = \mathcal{B} \bigcap \hat{\mathcal{B}}_m$ and $\mathcal{B}_m$ is a basis for $\mathrm{Span}(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_m)$ for all m.

We shall use the abbreviation $\beta_t(X) = \mathrm{Ad}_{\exp(tX)} = \exp(t\mathrm{ad}_X)$ for $X \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Consider the adjoint representation of G which identifies G with a matrix group acting on $\mathcal{G}$. The real C^* algebra of real-valued continuous functions $C(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ of the adjoint-orbit space $M = G/T$ can be identified with real C^*-subalgebra of $C(G)_{\mathbb{R}}$ generated by the functions of the form $f_\lambda(g) = \lambda(\mathrm{Ad}_g(H_1))$, where λ varies over the dual $\mathcal{G}'$ of $\mathcal{G}$. Let V be the real vector space consisting of functions of the form f_λ, with $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}'$. We can complexify all the vector spaces involved, and observe that $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ will generate $C(M)$ (continuous complex valued functions) as a (complex) C^* algebra. For $X \in \mathcal{B}$, let $\hat{X} \in \mathcal{G}'$ denote the functional which sends X to 1 and any other element of the basis $\mathcal{B}$ to 0. The real-valued functions (i.e. self-adjoint as elements of $C(M) \subset C(G)$) $f_{\hat{X}}$, $X \in \mathcal{B}$ can be interptreted as 'coordinates' of the compact homogeneous manifold M.

Now, as G is simply laced, i.e. each simple root has the same length, it follows that the Weyl group acts transitively on the set of simple roots (see, e.g. page 53, Lemma C of section 10.4 in [8]), hence it is also transitive on the set $\{H_1, \dots, H_n\}$. From the one-to-one correspondence between Weyl group and the inner automorphism of a simple Lie group, it follows that for each i, there is $g_i \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}_{g_i}(H_1) = H_i$.

We claim the following.

Theorem 4.1 *The vector space V is quadratically independent.*

The proof will be divided into severel steps. First of all, we need to pass to the complex Lie group $G_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Lemma 4.2 *Consider the natural extension of adjoint action of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$, and let $\tilde{V_{\mathbb{C}}}$ be the linear subspace spanned by functions of the form $\tilde{f_\lambda}(g) := \lambda(\mathrm{Ad}_g(H_1))$ ($g \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$) in $C(G_{\mathbb{C}})$. Then the quadratic independence of V is equivalent to quadratic independence of $\tilde{V_{\mathbb{C}}}$.*

Proof:
We already noted that quadratic independence of V and $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ are equivalent. Moreover, as $\tilde{f_\lambda}$'s are extensions of f_λ's, it is clear that quadratic independence of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ will imply that of $\tilde{V_{\mathbb{C}}}$. To prove the converse, assume that $\tilde{V_{\mathbb{C}}}$ is quadratically independent. We have to show that $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is quadratically independent. Choose a basis $f_1, ..., f_m$ of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$; clearly $\tilde{f_1}, ..., \tilde{f_m}$ are linearly independent and hence forms a basis of $\tilde{V_{\mathbb{C}}}$. If possible, suppose that $c_{ij}, i \leq j$ are complex numbers such that $\sum_{ij} c_{ij} f_i f_j = 0$. This means for every element X of $\mathcal{G}$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\sum_{ij} c_{ij} \tilde{f_i}(\exp(tX)) f_j(\exp(tX)) = 0$. Now, it is known that $z \mapsto \exp(zX)$ is holomorphic, and from this, it can be seen that the above expression with t replaced by $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, so that it must be identically zero for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. But then, as $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the Lie algebra of the connected Lie group $G_{\mathbb{C}}$, we conclude that $\sum_{ij} c_{ij} \tilde{f_i}(g) \tilde{f_j}(g) = 0$ for all $g \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$. By assumption, this implies $c_{ij} = 0$ for all i, j, i.e. $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is proved to be quadratically independent. □

In view of this lemma, we can prove the theorem by showing quadratic independence of $\tilde{V_{\mathbb{C}}}$. Let us first show that the vector space of functions $\tilde{f_\lambda}$ has the same dimension as the dimension of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Lemma 4.3 *The set $\{\tilde{f_{\hat{X}}} : \ X \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a basis for $\tilde{V_{\mathbb{C}}}$.*

Proof:
Suppose $\{c_X, \ X \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a collection of complex numbers such that $\sum_{X \in \mathcal{B}} c_X \tilde{f_{\hat{X}}} = 0$. This means, for every complex numbers $t_1, ..., t_k$ and elememts $X_1, ..., X_k$ of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have

$$\sum_X c_X \hat{X} \left((\beta_{t_1}(X_1) ... \beta_{t_k}(X_k)(H_1)) \right) = 0. \tag{5}$$

We want to deduce $c_X = 0$ for all i by looking at the above for different choices of $X_1, ..., X_k$ and equating coefficients of powers of $t_1, ..., t_k$ s in both sides.

Next, let us note the following:

$$\beta_t(E_i)(H_1) = H_1 - ta_{1i}E_i, \tag{6}$$

$$\beta_t(F_i)(H_1) = H_1 + ta_{1i}F_i, \tag{7}$$

$$\beta_t([E_i,[E_j,...,E_k]...])(H_1) = H_1 - (a_{1i}+a_{1j}+...+a_{1k})t[E_i,[E_j,...,E_k]...], \tag{8}$$

$$\beta_t([F_i,[E_j,...,F_k]...])(H_1) = H_1 + (a_{1i}+a_{1j}+...+a_{1k})t[F_i,[F_j,...,F_k]...], \tag{9}$$

$$\beta_s(E_i)\beta_t(F_i)(H_1) = H_1 - sa_{1i}E_i + ta_{1i}F_i + sta_{1i}H_i - s^2ta_{1i}E_i. \tag{10}$$

For i such that $a_{1i} \neq 0$, using (6) in (5) ($k = 1, t_1 = t$), we can conclude that $c_X = 0$ for $X = H_1$ and E_i. Similarly, from (7), (8),(9) and (10), we get $c_X = 0$ for $X = F_i, [E_i,[E_j,...,E_k]...]$, $[F_i,[F_j,...,F_k]...]$ and H_i respectively, i.e. for all $X \in \mathcal{B}_1$. For a general i, replace H_1 in the above expressions by $H_i = \mathrm{Ad}_{g_i}(H_1)$ and proceed similarly to prove $c_X = 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{B}$.

□

Now, we proceed to the proof of quadratic independence. Suppose $\{c_{(X,Y)} = c_{(Y,X)},\quad X,Y \in \mathcal{B}\}$ are such that $\sum_{X,Y\in\mathcal{B}} c_{(X,Y)}\tilde{f}_{\hat{X}}\tilde{f}_{\hat{Y}} = 0$. We have to show that each $c_{(X,Y)} = 0$. For notational convenience, let us extend $c_{(X,Y)}$ to $\hat{\mathcal{B}} \times \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ as a symmetric bilinear form, using the fact that $\mathcal{B}$ is a basis for $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$. The strategy is similar to the proof of the previous lemma. Instead of (5), we consider

$$\sum c_{(X,Y)}\hat{X}\left((\beta_{t_1}(X_1)...\beta_{t_k}(X_k))(H_1)\right)\hat{Y}\left((\beta_{t_1}(X_1)...\beta_{t_k}(X_k))(H_1)\right) = 0. \tag{11}$$

As before, first consider i in Γ_1, i.e. $a_{1i} \neq 0$. Using (6) in (11) with $k = 1$, $t_1 = t$, and equating coefficients of $1, t, t^2$ in both sides, we conclude $c_{(X,Y)} = 0$ for $X, Y \in \{H_1, E_i\}$. In a similar way, we get $c_{(X,Y)} = 0$ for $X, Y = H_1, F_i$ from (7), and also for Y in $\mathcal{B}_1$ of the form $[E_i,[E_j,...,E_k]...]$, $[F_i,[F_j,...,F_k]...]$ etc. (using (8), (9)). In particular, note that $c_{(H_1,X)} = 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{B}_1$, hence for all $X \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_1$.

However, to go further we need some more formulae. First, note that using (10) in (11), and comparing coefficients of s^2t^2 one gets

$$-2a_{1i}^2c_{(E_i,F_i)} + a_{1i}^2c_{(H_i,H_i)} = 0, \ i.e.\ c_{(H_i,H_i)} = 2c_{(E_i,F_i)}. \tag{12}$$

Similarly, from coefficient of st we get

$$c_{(H_1,H_i)} = a_{1i}c_{(E_i,F_i)}. \tag{13}$$

Recall that there is $g \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}_g(H_1) = H_i$. Using

$$\sum_{X,Y} c_{XY}\tilde{f}_{\hat{X}}(\beta_t(E_i)\mathrm{Ad}_g(H_1))\tilde{f}_{\hat{Y}}(\beta_t(E_i)\mathrm{Ad}_g(H_1)) = 0$$

we get $c_{(H_i,H_i)} = 0$, hence it also follows that $c_{(E_i,F_i)} = 0 = c_{(H_1,H_i)}$.

Using these facts in (10) and comparing coefficient of s^3t^2 we get $c_{(E_i,H_i)} = 0$, and coefficient of st^2 gives $c_{(F_i,H_i)} = 0$.

Next, we consider $i \neq j$, $i, j \in \Gamma_1$. Then from the equation

$$\beta_s(E_i)\beta_t(F_j)(H_1) = H_1 - sa_{1i}E_i + ta_{1j}F_j, \quad i \neq j. \tag{14}$$

we get $c_{(E_i,F_j)} = 0$. Similarly, considering

$$\beta_s(E_i)\beta_t(E_j)(H_1) = H_1 - sa_{1i}E_i - ta_{1j}E_j - sta_{1j}[E_i, E_j] - \frac{1}{2}s^2ta_{1j}[E_i, [E_i, E_j]] + \ldots\ldots, \tag{15}$$

and using the already known facts that $c_{(H_1,[E_i,E_j])} = 0$, we see by considering coefficient of st that $c_{(E_i,E_j)} = 0$. Similarly, using $c_{(H_1,[E_i,[E_i,E_j]])} = 0$, we see that the contribution of s^2t in (11) can only come from the pair E_i, $[E_i, E_j]$, and thus $c_{(E_i,[E_i,E_j])} = 0$. Looking at coefficient of st^2, we conclude $c_{(E_j,[E_i,E_j])} = 0$. We get similar conclusions replacing E's by F's as well. For example, to show $c_{(X,Y)} = 0$ for $X = [E_{i_1}, [E_{i_2}, \ldots, E_{i_k}] \ldots]$, $Y = [F_{j_1}, [F_{j_2}, \ldots, F_{j_l}] \ldots]$, we must look at the following expression:

$$\beta_{s_1}(E_{i_1}) \ldots \beta_{s_k}(E_{i_k})\beta_{t_1}(F_{j_1}) \ldots \beta_{t_l}(F_{j_l})(H_1),$$

and compare coefficients of $s_1 \ldots s_k t_1 \ldots t_l$. We also need to use induction on $\max(k, l)$.

Next, suppose that $a_{1i} = 0$, $a_{1j} \neq 0$, $a_{ji} \neq 0$, i.e. $i \in \Gamma_2$. It is an easy computation to get the formula

$$\beta_w(E_i)\beta_s(E_j)\beta_t(F_j)(H_1) = H_1 - (sa_{1j} + \frac{1}{2}s^2ta_{1j}^2)(E_j + w[E_i, E_j] + \ldots) + ta_{1j}F_j + sta_{1j}(H_j - a_{ji}wE_i). \tag{16}$$

Consider coefficients of stw in (11). Observe that as $j \in \Gamma_1$, we have already proved that all coefficients of stw involving j, e.g. $c_{([E_i,E_j],E_j)}$ etc. vanish, leaving only $c_{(H_1,E_i)}$. Thus, we conclude that $c_{(H_1,E_i)} = 0$. Similarly, considering coefficients of $s^2t^2w^2$, st^2w and s^3t^2w we are able to prove that $c_{(E_i,E_i)}$, $c_{(F_j,E_i)}$ and $c_{(E_j,E_i)}$ (respectively) are zero.

Let us now prove the general case by induction. Assume the inductive hypothsis that $c_{(X,Y)} = 0$ for all X, Y belonging to $\mathcal{B}_m, \mathcal{B}_l$ respectively, with $max(m, l) \leq N$. Let $j \in \Gamma_{N+1}$, Without loss of generality we can assume that j does not belong to Γ_N (in that case there is nothing to prove), and let $1 = j_1, ..., j_{N+2} = j$ being the corresponding path fropm 1 to j (the j_k's are distinct). We have to consider

$$\beta_w(E_j)\beta_{s_{N+1}}(E_{j_{N+1}})\beta_{t_{N+1}}(F_{j_{N+1}}) \ldots \beta_{s_2}(E_{j_2})\beta_{t_2}(F_{j_2})(H_1).$$

A moment's reflection would show that in this expression the only coefficient of $s_2t_2...s_{N+1}t_{N+1}w$ is a nonzero constant multiple of E_j. Also, observe that coefficients of any term without powers of w, i.e. involving only s_p's and t_q's, are linear combinations of elements from $\mathcal{B}_m$, $m \leq N$. Thus, all coefficients of $s_2...s_{N+1}t_{N+1}w$ in (11) except $c_{(H_1,E_j)}$ are of the form $c_{(X,Y)}$ for some X, Y in $\bigcup_{m \leq N} \mathcal{B}_m$, which vanish by the induction hypothesis. It follows that the only nonzero coefficient of $s_2...s_{N+1}t_{N+1}w$ in (11) is a multiple of $c_{(H_1,E_j)}$, so we get

$c_{(H_1,E_j)} = 0$. Similarly, we conclude $c_{(X,Y)} = 0$ for $X = H_1, E_i, [E_i, [E_{l_1}, ...]]$ etc. Exactly similar arguments will also work for $E's$ replaced by $F's$. Next, we consider $\beta_w(E_j)\beta_{w'}(F_j)\beta_{s_{N+1}}(E_{j_{N+1}})\beta_{t_{N+1}}(F_{j_n})\ldots\beta_{s_2}(E_{j_2})\beta_{t_2}(F_{j_2})(H_1)$ to prove $c_{(E_j,F_j)} = c_{(H_1,H_j)} = c_{(H_j,H_j)} = 0$. Now, for $i \in \mathcal{B}_m$, with $m \leq N$, with $1 = i_1, ..., i_{m+1} = i$ being a path, we prove $c_{(E_j,F_i)} = 0$ by considering coefficient of $ww'(\prod_{p=2}^m s'_p t'_p)(\prod_{q=2}^{N+1} s_q t_q)$ after substituting the following expression in (11):

$$\beta_{w'}(F_i)\beta_{s'_m}(E_{i_m})\beta_{t'_m}(F_{i_m})\ldots\beta_{s'_2}(E_{i_2})\beta_{t'_2}(F_{i_2})\beta_w(E_j)\beta_{s_{N+1}}(E_{j_{N+1}})\beta_{t_{N+1}}(F_{j_{N+1}})\ldots\beta_{s_2}(E_{j_2})\beta_{t_2}(F_{j_2})(H_1). \tag{17}$$

Note here that, as $m \leq N$ and j does not belong to Γ_N, none of the indices i_p's can be equal to j, hence E_{i_p}, F_{i_p}'s commute with E_j for all such i_p's. This implies that the coefficients of $w(\prod_{q=2}^{N+1} s_q t_q)$ and $w'(\prod_{p=2}^m s'_p t'_p)$ in the expression (17) are nonzero multiples of E_j and F_i respectively.

We can prove $c_{(X,Y)} = 0$ for other X, Y in a similar way, along the lines of proof given before for $X, Y \in \Gamma_1$, replacing H_1 by elements of the form

$$\beta_{w'}(X)\beta_{s'_m}(E_{i_m})\beta_{t'_m}(F_{i_m})\ldots\beta_{s'_2}(E_{i_2})\beta_{t'_2}(F_{i_2})\beta_w(Y)\beta_{s_n}(E_{j_n})\beta_{t_n}(F_{j_n})\ldots\beta_{s_2}(E_{j_2})\beta_{t_2}(F_{j_2})(H_1),$$

and comparing appropriate coefficients.□

Corollary 4.4 *The conclusion of Theorem 4.1 remains true if we replace the assumption of simplicity of G by semi-simplicity, i.e. if G is assumed to be a compact, semisimple, connected, centre-less Lie group which is a direct product of simple Lie groups each of which has type A,D or E.*

Proof:
We have that G is isomorphic as a Lie group to the product $G_1 \times \ldots G_k$ of simple compact connected centreless Lie groups G_i, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Moreover, each G_i is simply laced. Let T_i be a maximal torus of G_i, H_1^i be a regular element of the corresponding Cartan subalgebra of the Lie algebra (say $\mathcal{G}_i$) of G_i. We now apply Lemma 3.2 with $\mathcal{A}_i = C(G_i)$ and V_i to be the space of functions on G_i/T_i of the form $g \mapsto \lambda(\mathrm{Ad}_g(H_1^i))$, with $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}'_i$, to conclude that V is quadratically independent.□

From the above corollary and Theorem 3.3, we immediately conclude the following:

Theorem 4.5 *Let G be a compact, semisimple, connected, centreless Lie group which is a direct product of simple Lie groups each of which being one of the types A, D or E. Let G have the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$, a maximal torus T and a regular element H of the Cartan subalgebra corresponding to T. Then any compact quantum group acting faithfully on $C(G)$ such that the space V of functions of the form $g \mapsto \lambda(\mathrm{Ad}_g(H)), \lambda \in \mathcal{G}'$ is left invariant by the action must be commutative as a C^* algebra.*

4.2 Quantum actions on Rieffel-deformed C^* algebras

We shall now consider deformation of classical manifolds and quantum group actions on them. Let G be as in Theorem 4.5 above and assume that the rank n of G is at least 2. We consider the Rieffel-deformation $C(M)_\theta$ using the left action of T on $M = G/T$ (see [14] for the definition and details of such deformation), indexed by skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrices θ, which is a continuous field of possibly noncommutative C^* algebras.

In a similar way, if a compact group K has an n-toral subgroup T, we can consider the Rieffel-Wang deformation $C(K)_{\tilde{\theta}}$ (see [18], [15]) of $C(K)$ by the action of the $2n$-dimensional torus $T \times T$ on K given by $(z, w)g := zgw^{-1}$, $z, w \in T, g \in K$, and where $\tilde{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta \\ -\theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. This becomes a compact quantum group with the same coalgebra structure as $C(G)$. It is known ([14]) that there are canonical injective linear maps $j_\theta : C^\infty(M) \to C(M)_\theta$ such that $C^\infty(M)_\theta := j_\theta(C^\infty(M))$ is dense in $C(M)_\theta$ for each θ. There is a canonical action of T, say β, on $C(M)_\theta$, which is defined by $\beta_z(j_\theta(f)) = j_\theta(L_z f)$, $L_z(f)(g) := f(zg)$, for $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $z \in T$, $g \in G$.

Definition 4.6 *We shall call an action $\alpha : C(M)_\theta \to C(M)_\theta \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ of a compact quantum group 'linear' if it leaves invariant the subspace $j_\theta(V) \subseteq C^\infty(M)_\theta$, where V is as in Theorem 4.5, i.e. the subspace of $C^\infty(M)$ spanned by functions of the form $\lambda(\mathrm{Ad}_g(H))$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}'$, where H is a regular element of the Cartan subalgebra and $\mathcal{G}$ is the Lie algebra of G.*

Theorem 4.7 *Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a compact quantum quantum group with a faithful Haar state having a faithful and linear action α on $C(M)_\theta$. Assume furthermore that there is a quantum subgroup of $\mathcal{Q}$ isomorphic with the n-torus T, with the corresponding surjective morphism $\pi : \mathcal{Q} \to C(T)$, such that the action $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi) \circ \alpha : C(M)_\theta \to C(M)_\theta \otimes C(T)$ coincides with the canonical T-action β on $C(M)_\theta$. Then $\mathcal{Q}$ must be isomorphic with the Rieffel-Wang deformation $C(K)_{\tilde{\theta}}$ for some compact group K which acts on M.*

Proof:
Note that $(C(M)_\theta)_{-\theta} \cong C(M)$. We conclude from the proof of Theorem 3.11 of [4] that there is an action $\alpha_{-\theta}$ of $\mathcal{Q}_{-\tilde{\theta}}$ on $C(M)$, which is clearly faithful and also leaves invariant $j_0(V) \equiv V$. This implies, by Theorem 4.5, that $\mathcal{Q}_{-\tilde{\theta}} \cong C(K)$ for some compact group acting on M. Thus, $\mathcal{Q} \cong C(K)_{\tilde{\theta}}$. □

Remark 4.8 *It may be mentioned that Banica et al have recently obtained ([3]) some results about nonexistence of genuine quantum group actions on classical manifolds by a completely different method, and there may be some overlap of examples (e.g. Euclidean 2-sphere) between their work and the present article.*

Acknowledgement: I would like to thank Prof. Marc A. Rieffel for inviting me to visit the Department of Mathematics of the University of California at

Berkeley, where most of the work was done. I am grateful to Prof. Rieffel for stimulating discussion with him, and also for explaining some of his work on coadjoint orbits to me, which gave me the motivation to consider the problem of quantum actions on homogeneous spaces.

I am also grateful to Prof. S. C. Bagchi for some useful discussion on Lie groups.

References

[1] Banica, T.: Quantum automorphism groups of small metric spaces, Pacific J. Math. **2**19(2005), no. 1, 27–51.

[2] Banica, T.: Quantum automorphism groups of homogeneous graphs, J. Funct. Anal. **2**24(2005), no. 2, 243–280.

[3] Banica, T., Bhowmick, J. and De Commer, K.: Quantum isometries and group dual subgroups, arXiv: 1201.3392 (2012).

[4] Bhowmick, J. and Goswami, D.: Quantum isometry groups : examples and computations, Comm. Math. Phys. **285** (2009), no.2, 421–444..

[5] Bhowmick, J., Goswami, D. and Skalski, A.: Quantum isometry groups of 0-dimensional manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363** (2011), no. 2, 901–921.

[6] Bhowmick, J. and Goswami, D.: Quantum group of orientation preserving Riemannian isometries, *J. Funct. Anal.* **257** (2009), 2530–2572.

[7] Connes, A.: “Noncommutative Geometry”, Academic Press, London-New York (1994).

[8] Humphreys, J. M.: “Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory”, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **9**, Springer, New York (2003).

[9] Goswami, D.: Quantum Group of isometries in Classical and Non Commutative Geometry, Comm. Math. Phys. **285** (2009), no. 1, 141–160.

[10] Goswami, D.: Quantum symmetries and quantum isometries of compact metric spaces, preprint

[11] Huang, H.: Faithful compact quantum group actions on connected compact metrizable spaces, arXiv 1202.1175 n(2012).

[12] Maes, A. and Van Daele, A.: Notes on compact quantum groups, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (4) **16** (1998), no. 1-2, 73112.

[13] Podles,P.: Symmetries of quantum spaces.Subgroups and quotient spaces of quantum $SU(2)$ and $SO(3)$ groups, Commun. Math. Phys.170 (1995), 1-20.

[14] Rieffel , Mark A. : Deformation Quantization for actions of R^d , Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society , November 1993 . Volume 106 . Number 506 .

[15] Rieffel , Mark A. : Compact Quantum Groups associated with toral subgroups . Contemp . Math.**1**45(1992) , 465–491 .

[16] Rieffel, Marc A,: Dirac Operators from Coadjoint Orbits of Compact Lie Groups, Muenster J. Math.**2** (2009), 265–298.

[17] Wang, S.: Quantum symmetry groups of finite spaces, Comm. Math. Phys. **1**95(1998), 195–211.

[18] Wang, S. : Deformation of Compact Quantum Groups via Rieffel's Quantization , Comm. Math. Phys. **1**78(1996), 747–764.

[19] Woronowicz, S. L.: " Compact quantum groups ", pp. 845–884 in Symétries quantiques (Quantum symmetries) (Les Houches, 1995), edited by A. Connes et al., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998.